

①

The trial of William Kristofer Wolf is culminated in three facts; the paid informant Ed Gray's testimony and the infringement on free speech and a fair and impartial trial.

On Dec. 18, 2014, in a debriefing of a recorded meeting in Four Corners, MT, the paid informant Ed Gray made the statement I wanted (quote) "A Russian Fully Automatic Shotgun, specifically a Saiga." (quote)

That recorded meeting, by FBI agent Greg Rogers, as entered into evidence, has NO such statement. In 22 months of FBI recorded statements, I ONLY ever request a (quote) "Russian Automatic Shotgun" (quote). That is not an illegal request. If it were the model 1911.45 A.C.P., the old army .45, would be illegal because the letters A.C.P. stand for Automatic Colt Pistol, which is NOT a fully automatic. It is still used and sold today with no permits.

However, in those 22 months of FBI recorded conversations and meetings, there is NEVER a mention of a (quote) "Russian Fully Automatic Shotgun, specifically a Saiga" (quote). Therefore, the statement made by Ed Gray in the debriefing on Dec. 18th 2014 can ONLY result in one conclusion; Ed Gray and I had unrecorded conversations; NO audio exists of me mentioning a "Saiga Fully Automatic," yet on audio testimony Rogers and Gray say I do.

This evidence is supported by telephonic records, I asked my defense team to subpoena, that would prove there were unrecorded conversations.

However, Ed Gray, on the stand, under oath, testified that there were NO unrecorded conversations or meetings. Ed Gray testified that, on Dec. 18, 2014, I told the ANB agent Greg Rogers, I wanted a Russian Saiga Fully Automatic shotgun. The recordings prove that testimony and the statement on Dec. 18, 2014 debriefing, to be a direct LIE under oath. Unrecorded deal defining conversation violate the wire tap rules.

The prevailing reason for my conviction is based on the verifiable, undisputed, recorded perjurious testimony and debriefing statement by Ed Gray, which is paramount to Derivative Entrapment. This renders Ed Gray's testimony incredible and inadmissible.

To cover for the incredible testimony and debriefing of Ed Gray, the Department of Justice, repeatedly presented testimony and evidence to establish a proclivity toward my bad character. However, FBI documents and recordings CLEARLY show that I had NO proclivity or predisposition to commit a crime. As a matter of FBI documents and recorded fact, it clearly shows that the FBI, through various informants, attempted to induce me to commit the crimes of manufacturing and distributing grenades, rocket propellant, R.P.G.'s, and explosives, as well as helping an FBI informant to acquire a Glock model P18 Fully automatic pistol.

I responded, on a FBI recording, that there was NO need for a Fully automatic weapon. This recorded statement establishes two things; One, I know the

difference between a fully automatic and an automatic. Secondly, it clearly establishes that I had NO proclivity or predisposition to purchase and/or commit an illegal act of owning a fully automatic.

This harmful error occurred with the repeated inclusion of testimony and evidence by the department of justice, ultimately exposing the jury to evidence that was persuasive but inadmissible that it SO aroused the emotions of the jury, that calm and logical reasoning was abandoned; creating a bias and prejudicial jury, thereby denying me a Constitutionally protected fair and impartial trial.

That immaterial, irrelevant, harmful evidence and testimony created undue prejudice, which caused my defense team to spend 500 hours trying to review for my defense.

However, this harmful, immaterial, irrelevant testimony attacking my freedom of speech, expression, assembly with like minded people, freedom of the press, and my right to redress grievances without interference, infringement or restriction by the government or government intervention, is Protected by Article I of the Bill of Rights.

Yet the agencies of the federal government did exactly that, as testified too by FBI agent Matt Deurmeir's 25 month investigation and subsequently the Department of Justice's introduction of my political views on government corruption; specifically abuse

of power, judicial and political misconduct, items that are not illegal to own or the historical and potential current or future use or open discussion, namely a flame thrower, my varied lawful and constitutional redress of grievances and my views and opinions of current and potential futuristic patriotic events.

These Protected rights are NOT derived from recent groups like the Black Panther's chanting 'pigs in blankets, fryem like bacon,' which is a direct reference to flame throwers and their hypothetical use; or Black Lives Matter, who said 'if you don't start holding yourself accountable more like this will happen, in reference to the execution style murder of a Sheriff; or Louis Farakon calling for 10k young me to do what is necessary; or Rev. Al Sharpton leading a chant in Ferguson Mo. of 'what do we want? dead cops. When do we want it? Now,' who then gets invited to the White House for dinner. These Protected rights are derived from the founding documents; I quote:

"In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms. Our repeated Petitions have been answered by repeated injury... We have warned them from time to time of attempt by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us... That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or abolish it and to institute new Government. But when a long train of abuse and usurpations,

persuing invariably the same Object winces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right it is their duty to throw off such Governments and to provide new Guards for their future security."

Blacks law is very clear on a Right where a corresponding Duty is invoked. All the exercise of my free speech, that the Department of Justice used against me to prejudice the jury, is derived from that founding document Unanimously declared July 4th 1776. It is the Declaration of Independence and it is the bedrock of America. You may not like what I have to say, but it is my Protected Right and shall not be infringed.

The use of this inflammatory, irrelevant, and immaterial testimony; along with the fact that Agent Greg Rogers, as recorded by the FBI, NEVER definitively expressed that the firearm was fully automatic and only inferred that a fully automatic was illegal; In fact he went to the extreme of invoking that the firearm was legally converted, not inverted, by a registered, licensed and Federally regulated CLASS III dealer, conclusively makes his actions Entrapment by Estoppel, there by resulting in an illegal arrest. That action resulted in a prejudicial and impartial jury.

The facts, as evident in the FBI documents along with many others my defense team could not bring forth due to the 500 plus hours spent trying to prepare a defense against irrelevant, immaterial

(6)

harmful, and ultimately prejudicial testimony, as well as the proven lies under oath of Ed Gray, deprived me of a Constitutionally protected right to a fair and impartial trial.

This obvious harmful error can and should be corrected by this court this very instant in compliance with its oath to protect and uphold the Constitution as affirmed in the 1803 Marbury v Madison ruling and not passed over, ultimately turning this into a manifested Constitutional error, to a higher Court.

I unequivocally declare my innocence in this matter and continue to maintain I was entrapped and ultimately denied a fair and impartial trial amounting to Political Persecution because of the exercise of my free speech as targeted by the FBI.

Wolf

2:1:16